

**Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 513 Case file # 95-279146.**

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CRM 858

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date)

File #: 95-279146

Laboratory #(s): 70728055

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<u>X</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>TK UQ</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>X</u>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, pled guilty

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 70728055 Date: Oct 23, 1987

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 70728055

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

CRM: 858 A

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated July 27, 1987

Results of Review

File #: 95-279146

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q3, K2, K3

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: EM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-279146

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

Please note that the hair comparison was apparently confirmed by a second examiner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented.

Review completed at: 10:00 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.


(Signature)

Oct. 22, 2002
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SW